

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,570	OGAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1797	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Brian Hannon (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 20 June 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 6 to make it clear that the upstream and downstream portions of the flow channel communicate with one another both before and after a blood sample in the channel has been separated into a blood cell fraction and a plasma fraction. See Examiner's Amendment.